

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/646,119	10/30/2000	Gunter Halmschlager	P19790	3782
	7590 04/21/2003			
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			EXAMINER	
RESTON, VA			FORTUNA, JOSE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/646,119**

Applicant(s)

aminer

Art U

José A. Fortuna

Unit 1731

Halmschlager et al.



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with	n the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.				
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will approximate to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause 	•				
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 					
Status					
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 30, 2	003				
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This ac	tion is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) 🛛 Claim(s) <u>46-97</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideratio				
5) 💢 Claim(s) 53-61 and 81-88	is/are allowed.				
6) X Claim(s) 46-52, 62-80, and 89-97	is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/al	re all accepted or bill objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	is: a approved b disapproved by the Examine				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	re been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	re been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority dapplication from the International Bure	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
a) \square The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6)				

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Claims 46-47 and 74-76 are rejected under 35 USC §102(b). This rejection is set forth in the prior Office action paper number 17.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 48-52, 62-73, 77-80 and 89-97 are rejected under 35 USC §103(a). This rejection is set forth in the prior Office action paper number 17.

Art Unit: 1731

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on January 30, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding apparatus claims, claims 46-52 and 62-74, the reference, Turner et al. shows a device that has all the structural limitations of the apparatus defined by claims 46-47 and 74, because the device as shown is capable of joining the plies as claimed. Note that claims 46-52 and 62-74, do not recite any structure in the couching zone that defines it over the reference. The claims recite that the layers having the higher content of fines in the surface are joined. The latter does not define an structure but just a functional recitation, i.e., there is no enough structure to accomplish the function. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). Also, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). Note that no structure and/or manipulative steps have been recited in the claims to obtain the fines distribution as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09646,119 Page 4

Art Unit: 1731

As to the process claims, Turner et al. clearly teach that the bonding of the plies is better when the plies are joined by the surfaces having the higher contend fines, see column 2, lines 12-18. Also shown by Casey, (cited in previous advisory action), which teaches that fines contribute to the bonding strength. Therefore, the bonding of the plies on the surface containing higher content of fines on the surface, it is suggested by the reference or at least would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José Fortuna, whose telephone number is (703)305-7498. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 A.M. to 5:30 P.M.

Application/Control Number: 09646,119

Page 5

Art Unit: 1731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin, can be reached on (703)308-1164. The fax number for this group is (703)305-7115.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

When filing a FAX in group 1730, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communication with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

José A. Fortuna April 17, 2003

PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1731